

New claims 34 and 35 depend respectively from claims 15 and 21. Each of these dependent claims specifies that the third and fourth brushes or brush bodies are substantially diametrically opposite the first and second brushes or brush bodies with respect to the motor axis. Such a relationship is not taught or suggested by the Muller reference. As clearly shown in Fig. 3 of Muller, the contact points of the wiper arms and rotating shaft are not diametrically opposite to each other, but rather, both contact points are slightly displaced in the direction toward the left as seen in Muller's Fig. 3.


New claims 36-40 depend respectively from claims 7, 16, 17, 22 and 33, and recite specifically that the different resonant frequencies of the brushes and support arms recited in the independent claims enable the two brushes to provide reliable electrical contact between the support arms and the commutator, by reducing the interface resistance between the brush bodies and the commutator, despite oscillations of the arms and brushes that occur in response to rotation of the commutator. This feature is supported, for example, at pages 1 and 5-6 of the specification. No such feature is seen to be either disclosed or suggested by the prior art.

Reconsideration and allowance of claims 5-41 is requested.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on October 30, 1992

James A. Finder

Name of applicant, assignee or  
Registered Representative



Signature  
October 30, 1992  
Date of Signature

JAF:rdj/rk

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Finder  
Registration No.: 30,173  
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN  
1180 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036-8403  
Telephone: (212) 382-0700